Endocrine Research

The effects of vitamin D on skeletal muscle strength, muscle mass and muscle power: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Charlotte Beaudart^{1–2}, Fanny Buckinx^{1–2}, Véronique Rabenda¹, Sophie Gillain³, Etienne Cavalier⁴, Justine Slomian^{1–2}, Jean Petermans³, Jean-Yves Reginster ^{1–2–5}, Olivier Bruyère^{1–2–6}

1 Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium; 2 Support Unit in Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Liège, Belgium; 3 Geriatric Department, CHU Liège, Liège, Belgium; 4 Department of Clinical Chemistry, University of Liège, CHU Sart-Tilman, Liège, Belgium; 5 Bone and cartilage metabolism Department, CHU Liège, Liège, Belgium; 6 Department of Motricity Sciences, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

Context There is growing evidence that vitamin D plays a role on several tissues including skeletal muscle.

Objective To summarize with a meta-analyse the effects of vitamin D supplementation on muscle function.

Data sources A systematic research of randomized controlled trials, performed between 1966 and January 2014 has been conducted on Medline, Cochrane Database of Systematics Reviews, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled and completed by a manual review of the literature and congressional abstracts.

Study selection All forms and doses of vitamin D supplementation, with or without calcium supplementation, compared with placebo or control were included. Out of the 225 potentially relevant articles, 30 randomized controlled trials involving 5615 individuals (mean age: 61.1 years) met the inclusion criteria.

Data extraction Data were extracted by two independent reviewers.

Data synthesis Results revealed a small but significant positive effect of vitamin D supplementation on global muscle strength with a standardized mean difference (SMD) of 0.17 (p=0.02). No significant effect was found on muscle mass (SMD 0.058; p=0.52) or muscle power (SMD 0.057; p=0.657). Results on muscle strength were significantly more important with people who presented a 25-hydroxyvitamin D level <30 nmol/L. Supplementation seems also more effective on people aged 65 years or older compared to younger subjects (SMD 0.25; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.48 versus SMD 0.03; 95% CI -0.08 to 0.14).

Conclusions Vitamin D supplementation has a small positive impact on muscle strength but additional studies are needed to define optimal treatment modalities, including dose, mode of administration and duration.

Vitamin D, or calciferol, is a liposoluble prohormone available in two forms: vitamin D_2 and vitamin D_3 . Many studies suggest that vitamin D is essential for bone health because of its role in the regulation of calcium and phosphate homeostasis (1). Currently, there is growing evidence that low serum concentration of 25-hydroxyvi-

ISSN Print 0021-972X ISSN Online 1945-7197 Printed in U.S.A. Copyright © 2014 by the Endocrine Society Received March 15, 2014. Accepted July 10, 2014. Abbreviations:

doi: 10.1210/jc.2014-1742

J Clin Endocrinol Metab jcem.endojournals.org 1

EARLY RELEASE:

1

tamin D (25[OH]D) is also associated with many nonskeletal disorders such as cardiovascular diseases, inflammation, infectious diseases, etc., (2). Moreover, vitamin D seems to play also a role on several tissues including skeletal muscle (3). Indeed, a recent review (4) developed four lines of evidence to support the role of vitamin D in muscle health. First, muscle manifestations such as proximal muscle weakness, diffuse muscle pain and gait impairments are defined to be well-known clinical symptoms of vitamin D deficiency (5–10). Second, a vitamin D receptor has been localized on muscle tissue (11). Third, several observational studies suggest a positive relationship between serum level of vitamin D and muscle function. Fourth, regarding the findings listed above, many researchers decided to investigate the effects of vitamin D supplementation on muscle function but results remains controversial. Consequently two different meta-analyses that computed results of studies assessing the effects of vitamin D supplementation on muscle strength have been conducted in 2011. The first one (12), based on only three studies and focused only on people aged 65 and older, suggests that vitamin D supplementation could improve muscle strength. The second one (13), based on 12 studies and conducted on elderly subjects with baseline 25[OH]D concentration greater than 25 nmol/L, suggests no association between vitamin D supplementation and muscle strength. Because of the opposite results of these two metaanalyses, which focused only on specific groups of population and included a relatively restricted number of studies, it is difficult to conclude whether vitamin D supplementation has an effect on muscle strength for the global population. Moreover, muscle functions are not limited to muscle strength but comprises also muscle mass and muscle power and to date, no systematic review or comprehensive meta-analysis has addressed the role of supplementation of vitamin D on muscle mass and muscle power.

Vitamin D could be a simple and widely applicable public health intervention, especially in the field of musculoskeletal diseases. In view of the promising but inconclusive early results, a systematic meta-analysis that would summarize the results of randomized controlled trials assessing the effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle function could be of a great public health interest. The main objective of this meta-analysis is therefore to compute results of randomized controlled studies performed on global population to assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle function, including muscle strength, muscle mass and muscle power.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

In concordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement (14), we conducted a detailed literature search in English to identify all studies performed between 1966 and January 2014 assessing the effects of vitamin D supplementation on the muscle function. The following electronic databases were searched: Medline, Cochrane Database of Systematics Reviews and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials. The search strategy and MeSH search terms used are detailed in Appendix 1. Additional studies were identified by a manual search of bibliographic references of extracted articles and existing reviews, by contacting experts in the field and by a manual search in the gray literature including abstracts presented from 2011 to 2013 in major meetings of nutrition, geriatrics and bone research.

Study selection

Two authors (CB and FB) made independently an initial screening of the titles and abstracts. They subsequently examined the full texts of the articles remaining after the initial screening stage to determine whether the studies met the inclusion criteria. All differences of opinion regarding selection of articles were resolved through discussion and consensus. In both rounds of

	Inclusion criteria					
Design	Randomized controlled studies					
Langage	English					
Participants	Humans, no age restriction					
Intervention	Supplementation of vitaminD (all doses and all forms), no length of follow-up restriction					
Comparator	Placebo or another standard treatment. The control group must be comparable to the treated group with the exception of vitamin D supplementation					
Mesures	measure of muscle strength, muscle mass or muscle power before and after intervention for both groups					
Date	From 1966 to January 2014					

title/abstract and full text review, studies were included according to some specific inclusion criteria (Table 1).

Studies were excluded if they were reviews, trials that were not randomized, duplicated studies, animal studies, studies that did not use a placebo or a control group or used vitamin D as part of a complex nutritional supplementation regimen.

Methodological quality assessment

We used the system developed by Jadad (15) to evaluate methodological quality. Two authors (CB and FB) independently assessed the quality of trials. The Jadad score can range from zero to five. Studies were considered of excellent quality if their Jadad score reached five, of good quality if their score was three or four and of poor quality if their score was one or two.

Data extraction

Articles selected for full review had the following data extracted : authors, date of publication, country where the study was realized, sample size, number and percentage of female included, mean age, age range and type of population, before and after serum concentration of 25[OH]D, percentage of the subjects that completed the study, length of intervention, details of the interventions for the control and treated groups, type of vitamin D supplementation, mode of administration, treatment adherence, physical measure, measurement techniques and results.

Muscle strength was defined as the amount of force a muscle can produce and was measured by grip strength, quadriceps muscle strength and leg extension strength. Muscle mass was defined as the total of body lean mass measured by Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry. Finally, muscle power was defined as the maximum force that a muscle or muscle group can generate in a minimum amount of time and was measured by leg peak power.

We paid particular attention to missing data. In order to include a maximum of studies in our meta-analysis, we systematically contacted authors or coauthors when information was missing in the full-text paper.

When the same study reported multi measures of muscle strength, we deliberately chose to report, in the meta-analysis, only one of these results. We reported, in priority, the result of grip strength if available, followed by the result of quadriceps strength and, finally the result of the leg extension strength. Moreover, when one study managed three different groups to assess the difference between a placebo and two doses of vitamin D, we inserted arbitrary in the meta-analysis the results of the group supplemented with the higher dose of vitamin D.

Grading of Recommendations Assessment Development and Evaluation (GRADE) was used to assess the quality of the evidence. The strength of the evidence for each outcome measurement was classed into one of four categories: high, moderate, low and very low (16).

Statistical analysis

To provide a comparison between outcomes reported by the different studies, effect size as standardized mean difference with 95% CIs was assessed for each outcome.

Regarding the supplementation protocols heterogeneity, since participant demographics and clinical settings differed greatly between studies, we assumed the presence of heterogeneity a priori, and we used random effects models (17). Results were examined for heterogeneity using Cochran's Q statistic and the I^2 statistic was used to quantify total variation across studies attributed to heterogeneity rather than sampling error (18).

Five meta-regressions were performed on baseline 25[OH]D levels, 25[OH]D levels changes during study, age, length of study and vitamin D dose to assess the effects of these different variables on the treatment effect. For doses-analyses, we excluded studies with intramuscular (IM) supplementation, with a direct supplementation of an active form of vitamin D (Alfacalcidol, 1.25 dihydroxyvitamin D) or with vitamin D₂.

Subgroups analyses were prespecified to assess whether the treatment effect was modified by one or more of eight different clinical characteristics (baseline 25[OH]D concentration, clinical settings, age, supplementation action, sex, length of intervention, dose of supplementation, study quality). A test of interaction was done on all subgroups to establish if the difference in effect size between subgroups was statistically significant.

Potential publication bias was explored by means of a funnel plot. We used the Begg's adjusted rank correlation test and the Egger's regression asymmetry test to detect publication bias.

For all results, a two-sided p value of 0.05 or less was considered as significant. All analyses were performed using the software package Comprehensive Meta Analysis, Biostat v2.

Results

Study characteristics

A total of 225 records were found in our initial search, restricted to 222 after removing duplicate studies. During the titles and abstracts screening stage, 165 of them were excluded. During the full-text review, 11 studies were identified as presenting incomplete or missing data. We contacted the authors of those studies and obtained the required data for nine of them. Consequently, during the full-text articles reviews, we excluded only two studies for incomplete data, instead of nine. After the full-text review, a total of 30 randomized controlled trials remained (Figure 1) (19–48). Out of them, 29 trials reported muscle strength as outcome (19–39, 41–48), six trials reported muscle mass as outcome (23, 24, 27, 38, 40, 47) and five reported muscle power as outcome (19, 24, 34, 36, 46).

Characteristics of the 30 studies are presented in Table 2. Out of those 30 randomized controlled trials involving 5615 participants, 72% were women and the mean age of the subjects was 61.1 (range: 10–99 years). Vitamin D₃ was used in 22 studies (19–25, 27–29, 31, 33–41, 43, 47) and vitamin D₂ in four studies (26, 42, 46, 48). Alfacalcidol was used as supplementation in three studies (32, 44, 45) and 1.25 dihydroxyvitamin D in one other (30). In 14 different studies(19, 25–27, 29, 30, 33, 37, 39, 42, 43, 45–47), participants received vitamin D-only supplementation whereas in the 16 other trials(20–23, 28, 31, 32, 34–36, 38, 40, 41, 44, 48), they received combined vitamin D and calcium supplementation.

Only one study supplemented the participants with an IM injection (26). All other studies used an oral supple-

4



Figure 1. Flowchart of literature search

mentation. Treatment duration lasted from one to 60 months.

Regarding the study quality assessment, a median score of 4 out of 5 points (P25 3; P75 5; mean 3.9 points) on the Jadad scale was found, reflecting that the studies were overall of good quality (Table 5, Supplementary Files).

Muscle strength

Out of the 30 randomized controlled trials, 29 involving 5533 subjects, reported muscle strength measures. Results show that vitamin D supplementation has a small but significantly positive effect on global muscle strength with a standardized mean difference (SMD) of 0.17 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.31; P = .02) (Figure 2A). We note however that heterogeneity is significant (Q-value = 125.4; P < .001; I^2 77.7%). Among the 29 randomized controlled trials, 16 studies reported grip strength results (21–23, 27–31, 34– 37, 46, 47) and 19 studies reported lower limb muscle strength results (19-21, 23-26, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 41, 44–46, 48). Regarding the individual type of strength, results shows no significant effect of vitamin D supplementation on grip strength (SMD 0.01; 95% CI-0.06 to 0.07; P = .87), but a significant positive effect on lower limb muscle strength (SMD 0.19; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.34; P = .01).

Subgroup analyses

Table 3 summarizes results of subgroups analyses. Supplementation of people who presented a 25[OH]D level <

30 nmol/L resulted in a significant higher improvement of their muscle strength compared to those who presented a 25[OH]D level \geq 30 nmol/L (P = .02). Moreover, we also found higher SMDs for people who demonstrated an increase of their 25[OH]D concentration of at least 25 nmol/L within the duration of the study. This observation was confirmed by a meta-regression showing a significant association between changes in 25[OH]D concentration and changes in muscle strength (slope 95%CI = 0.01 (0.00;0.01));P = .01) (Figure 3, Supplementary Files). We note that, in subgroups analyses, we only found a significant intergroup difference for people who presented a change of their 25[OH]D concentration of more than 50 nmol/L within the duration of the study compared to others (P <.01).

Vitamin D supplementation on people aged 65 years or older resulted in a significant improvement of muscle strength (SMD 0.25; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.48) whereas supplementation of younger did not (SMD 0.03; 95% CI –0.08 to 0.14). Intergroup difference is however nonsignificant (P = .13). In line with these results, we found that people institutionalized or hospitalized presented a greater standardized mean difference compared to community-dwellers (SMD 0.45 vs 0.05; P < .01). We also found that studies with a methodological quality above 4 points resulted in a significant improvement of muscle strength with a SMD of 0.22 (95% CI 0.03 to 0.41), whereas studies of lower quality did not (SMD 0.07; 95% CI –0.13 to 0.26).

Except for an apparent greater effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle strength for only-women and only-men studies compared to mixed studies, we did not find any other significant difference between analyzed subgroups.

Muscle mass

Regarding the muscle mass, six studies have been included in the meta-analysis (23, 24, 27, 38, 40, 47) (Figure 2 B).

The pooled SMD for vitamin D supplementation on muscle mass is 0.058 (P = .52) suggesting that vitamin D has no significant effect on muscle mass. Heterogeneity is not significant (P = .395).

Table 2. Study and participants characteristics

Study, year	N (women, %)	Participants	Mean age (years)	Baseline 25[OH]D (nmol/liter)	Study duration (months)	Supplementation	Type of vitamin D	Dose of vitamin D (IU)	Outcome	25[OH]D after treatment (nmol/liter)	Trial quality*
Barker 2012(19)	20 (50)	Active males and females	28.6 (18-45)	80.4	1	Vit D only	D ₃	4000 IU/day	Strength Power	126.3	3
Binder 1995(20)	25 (36)	Institutionnalized	87.9 (NR)	56.8	2	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	100000 IU once + 50 000 IU/week	Strength	81.6	2
Bischoff 2003(21)	62 (100)	Geriatric care	85.3 (63–99)	29.8	3	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	800 IU/day	Strength	65.4	5
Brunner 2008(22)	2364 (100)	Postmenopausal women	62.4 (50–79)	NR	60	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	400 IU/day	Strength	NR	4
Bunout 2006(23)	48 (90)	Community- dwelling	77 (≥70)	31.8	9	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	400 IU/day	Strength Mass	64.4	5
Carrillo 2013(24)	23 (52)	Overweight and obese adults	26.1	48.2	3	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	4000 IU/day	Strength Mass Power	83.4	3
Close 2012(25)	10 (0)	Healthy adults	NR	NR	2	Vit D only	D ₃	5000 IU/day	Strength	NR	4
Dhesi 2004(26)	139 (78)	Ambulatory fallers	76.8 (≥65)	25.8	6	Vit D only	D ₂	600000 IU once	Strength	43.7	5
El-Hajj Fuleihan 2006(27)	117 (100)	Healthy children and adolescents	13.3 (10–17)	34.9	12	Vit D only	D ₃	2000 IU/day	Strength Mass	94.8	5
Glendenning 2012(28)	686 (100)	Older postmenopausal women	76.7 (>70)	NR	3	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	150000/3months	Strength	NR	3
Goswami 2012(29)	86 (100)	Young Asian students	21.8 (NR)	23.2	6	Vit D only	D ₃	60000/week during 6 weeks + 60000 twice/month during 4 months	Strength	74.63	5
Grady 1991(30)	98 (54)	Community- dwelling	79.1 (70–97)	62.9	66	Vit D only	1-25[OH] ₂ D	duning 4 montais	Strength	NR	3
Gupta 2010(31)	40 (40)	Healthy volunteers	31.55 (20- 40)	23.2	6	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	60000 IU/week (8 weeks) + 60000 IU/months (4 months)	Strength	56	4
Hara 2013(32)	94 (100)	Postmenopausal osteoporotic women	67.7 (55–75)	45.7	4	Vit D + Ca	1-hydroxycholecalciferol	1 μg/day	Strength	NR	3
Hornikx 2010(33)	49 (24)	COPD patients	68 (≥50)	42.4	3	Vit D only	D ₃	100000 IU/month	Strength	127.3	3
Janssen 2010(34)	70 (100)	Geriatric care	80.8 (≥65)	34.4	6	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	400 IU/day	Strength Power	77.2	4
Zono(3.1) Kampman 2012(35)	68 (71)	Multiple sclerosis ambulatory patients	40.5 (18–50)	56.4	22	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	20000IU/week	Strength	123.2	5
Kenny 2003(36)	60 (0)	Community- dwelling	76.5 (65–87)	62.4	6	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	1000 IU/day	Strength Power	87.1	5
Knutsen 2014(37)	146 (75)	Healthy immigrants	37.5 (18–50)	27	4	Vit D only	D ₃	1000 IU/day	Strength	52	5
Kukuljan 2009(38)	89 (0)	Community- dwelling	61 (50-79)	80.6	18	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	800 IU/day	Strength Mass	NR	2
Latham 2003(39)	243 (53)	Geriatric care	79.5 (77–81)	42.4	6	Vit D only	D ₃	300000 IU once	Strength	59.9	5
Manios 2009(40)	82 (100)	Postmenopausal women	61.3 (55–65)	NR	12	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	300 IU/day	Mass	NR	2
Pfeifer 2009(41)	242 (74.5)	Community- dwelling	76.5 (70–94)	54.5	20	Vit D + Ca	D ₃	800 IU/day	Strength	84	4
Sato 2005(42)	96 (100)	Women after stroke	74.1 (NR)	24.5	24	Vit D only	D ₂	1000 IU/day	Strength	83.4	5
Smedshaug 2007(43)	60 (65)	Institutionnalized	82.4 (NR)	46.6	12	VIt D only	D ₃	400 IU /day	Strength	70.4	3
Songpatanasilp 2009(44)	42 (100)	Postmenopausal women	70.7 (65–84)	24.3	3	Vit D + Ca	1-hydroxycholecalciferol		Strength	NR	5
Verhaar 2000(45)	27 (100)	Geriatric care	75.7 (≤70)	18.2	6	Vit D only	1-hydroxycholecalciferol		Strength	27.8	1
Ward 2010(46)	72 (100)	Healthy children and adolescents	13.8 (12–14)	18.0	12	Vit D only	D ₂	150000 IU/3 months	Strength Power	56	5
Wood 2014(47)	196 (100)	Postmenopausal women	63.8 (60–70)	33.8	12	Vit D only	D ₃	1000IU/day	Strength Mass	75.7	4
Zhu 2010(48)	261 (100)	Community- dwelling	76.9 (70–90)	44.7	12	Vit D + Ca	D ₂	1000 IU/day	Strength	60	5

NR = Not reported

* Quality evaluation was conducted using Jadad criteria

Muscle power

Five studies reported results on muscle power (19, 24, 34, 36, 46). The meta-analysis of these five studies does not show a significant result of vitamin D supplementation on muscle power (Figure 2C). No heterogeneity has been found in this meta-analysis (P = .94).

GRADE Analysis

Our GRADE analysis showed a moderate evidence quality for muscle strength. The main reason for the reduced level of evidence is the small sample size in some studies and the presence of heterogeneity in this metaanalysis. Regarding muscle mass and muscle power, our GRADE analysis showed a low level of evidence. This is mainly due to the restricted number of studies included in this meta-analysis but also to the small number of subjects in some of these studies. Future researches on muscle strength, muscle mass and muscle power are likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and are likely to change this estimate (Table 4). 6

Effect of vitamin D supplementation on global muscle strength



(A) Heterogeneity : Q-value 125.37 ; Df(Q) 28 ; p-value 0.001; I² : 77.67

Effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle mass



(B) Heterogeneity : Q-value 5.17 ; Df(Q) 5 ; p-value 0.39; I² : 3.34

Effect of Vitamin D supplementation on muscle power

Study name	Statistics for each study					Std diff in means and 95% Cl				
	Std diff in means	Lower limit	Upper limit	p-Value						
Barker et al. 2012	0,105	-0,772	0,982	0,814		1 -	-	_	1	
Camillio et al. 2013	0,392	-0,441	1,224	0,356						
Janssen et al. 2009	0,010	-0,459	0,479	0,967						
Kenny et al. 2003	-0,020	-0,527	0,486	0,938		- I -	-	.		
Ward et al. 2010	0,050	-0,412	0,512	0,832				22		
	0,057	-0,194	0,308	0,657			٠			
					-2.00	-1,00	0.00	1,00	2,00	

Figure 2. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on global muscle strength (A), muscle mass (B) and muscle power (C) (A) Heterogeneity : Q-value 125.37; Df(Q) 28; p-value 0.001; l^2 : 77.67 (B) Heterogeneity : Q-value 5.17; Df(Q) 5; p-value 0.39; l^2 3.34 (C) Heterogeneity : Q-value 0.76; Df(Q) 4; p-value 0.94; l^2 0.00%

Discussion

Principal findings

The aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle function. Pooled results from the 29 identified randomized controlled trials

have shown a small but positive significant effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle strength. These results could be of a great public health interest because of the wellknown correlation between, on one hand, low muscle strength and, on the other hand, functional impairments (49, 50), affected quality of life (QOL) (51) and mortality (52).

Positive effects on muscle strength are especially observed on lower limb muscles. These results are interesting insofar they can explain the significant effect of vitamin D on falls observed in three different meta-analyses (53-55). Indeed, quadriceps strength is recognized to be a significant predictor of incident falls (56).

Concerning muscle mass and muscle power, no significant effect of vitamin D was found. However, only six studies for muscle mass and five studies for muscle power with a total of only 538 and 245 subjects have been included respectively in the meta-analysis on muscle mass and muscle power. Given this small number of included studies, results must be interpreted with caution. Sufficient good quality studies are lacking to enable a clear assessment of the impact of vitamin D on muscle mass and muscle power.

Comparison with previous studies

Our findings can be compared to results of the meta-analyses of Stockton et al (13) and Muir et al (12), but several methodological differences between their meta-analyses and ours can be observed. We have found a larger number of studies, thus provided a bigger sample and hence more representative results. Indeed,

when data were missing in the paper, we systematically contacted authors or coauthors of the paper to obtain these data, which enabled us to include 30 studies in our meta-analysis, instead of 3 for Muir et al (12) and 12 for Stockton et al (13). Contrary to Stockton et al (13), we also

Table 3.Subgroups analyses

	Subtotal (n)	Number of studies	SMD (95%CI)	p- value
Serum 25(OH)D				
concentration				
< 30nmol/liter	710	9	0.47 (-0.07; 1.01)	0.02
\geq 30nmol/liter	1763	17	0.06 (-0.05; 0.16)	
Clinical settings				
Community-dwelling	4901	21	0.05 (-0.04; 0.15)	< 0.01
Institutionalized or hospitalized	632	8	0.45 (-0.16; 1.07)	
Age				
< 65 yr	3221	11	0.03 (-0.08; 0.145)	0.13
$\geq 65 \text{ yr}$	2302	17	0.25 (0.01; 0.48)	0.10
Supplementation				
Vitamin D alone	1359	14	0.06 (-0.01; 0.13)	0.7
Vitamin D $+$ calcium	4174	15	0.25 (-0.08; 0.59)	
Sex				
Women only	4173	13	0.29 (0.01; 0.05)	0.21
Men and women	1201	13	0.02 (-0.10; 0.15)	
Men only	159	3	0.38 (-0.17; 0.93)	
Length of intervention				
< 26 weeks	1157	10	0.13 (-0.06; 0.33)	0.72
\geq 26 weeks	4376	19	0.17 (-0.01; 0.36)	
Dose of			· · · ·	
supplementation				
< 1600 IU/day	3337	10	0.04 (-0.08; 0.15)	0.90
≥ 1600 IU/day	1367	11	0.02 (-0.08; 0.13)	
Change of 25(OH)D			,	
concentration				
< 25 nmol/liter	904	8	0.06 (-0.07; 0.20)	0.23
\geq 25 nmol/liter	1335	15	0.27 (-0.04; 0.57)	
< 50 nmol/liter	1783	17	0.06 (-0.04; 0.15)	< 0.01
\geq 50 nmol/liter	456	6	0.56 (-0.24; 1.36)	
Quality of studies				
< 4 points	1171	10	0.07 (-0.13; 0.26)	0.42
\geq 4 points	4362	19	0.22 (0.03; 0.41)	

SMD = *Standardized Mean Difference*

Table 4. Evidence quality and recommendation grade											
Outcome	Nb. of studies			Inconsistency	Indirectne	ssImprecision	Publication bias	Evidence quality			
Muscle strength	29	RCT	No serious	Serious ^a	Serious ^b	No serious	Not assessed ^c	Moderate			
Muscle mass	6	RCT	No serious	No serious	Serious ^b	No serious	Not assessed ^c	Low			
Muscle power	5	RCT	No serious	No serious	Serious ^b	No serious	Not assessed ^c	Low			

^a A significant heterogeneity was observed in this meta-analysis. ^b wide confidence intervals around the estimate of the effect were observed for most studies. ^C not assessed because of methodological issues (high heterogeneity observed in the meta-analysis on muscle strength and limited number of studies included in the meta-analyses on muscle mass and muscle power)

decided to exclude studies that used vitamin D as part of a complex nutritional supplementation regimen because of the impossibility to report only effects of vitamin D. Moreover, unlike these two authors, when a study presented results of two different measurements of muscle strength, we decided to report only one of these results to avoid an artificial increase of the statistical power in the meta-analysis. we have found a possibly greater effect of vitamin D supplementation in subjects with a baseline 25[OH]D level below 30 nmol/L.

Although for bone health, vitamin D seems more efficient when combined with calcium, we have found no significant difference between a simple supplementation of vitamin D and a supplementation of vitamin D combined with calcium. The role of calcium on muscle func-

Regarding subgroup analyses, like Stockton et al (13),

tion is yet not clear but this result does not seem to suggest an additional effect of calcium on muscle strength.

Regarding the age subgroup, we suggest a possible better effect on subjects aged 65 years or older. Moreover, effect on muscle strength seems also more important in frail people compared to community-dwelling people. These results could be an incentive to perform interventional studies with vitamin D in the field of older people's musculoskeletal diseases, such as sarcopenia.

Strength and limitations

8

We have used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) statement (14) to perform our research, to ensure as much as possible a good quality to our research. Thanks to a rigorous research of published and unpublished studies, and thanks to the contact we have made with authors or coauthors when information was missing in the full-text paper, we have included a higher number of studies in our metaanalysis than other authors (12, 13). We have defined clear inclusion criteria and have carefully ensured that the treated group was strictly comparable to the control group, with the exception of vitamin D supplementation. The 30 randomized controlled trials identified with this method and included in the meta-analysis showed a median score of quality of 4 out of 5 points, reflecting a high methodological quality.

Our study has also some limitations. Despite our efforts to include all potentially interesting studies in our metaanalysis, we have been obliged to exclude two studies because their authors did not answer our request for more information. Even if it is not the case for the meta-analysis on muscle mass and muscle power, we found a significant heterogeneity in the meta-analysis on muscle strength. This could be explained by the large number of studies included in the meta-analysis and by the variability observed between the different protocols of supplementation. However, we have presumed this heterogeneity in the statistical methodology and used a random effect model in our analyses. We also regret to be unable to find any dose effect in this meta-analysis but this is probably due, once again, to the variability of the different protocols of supplementation across studies. To avoid an artificial increase of the statistical power in the meta-analysis, we have arbitrary chosen to report only the result of the group supplemented with the higher dose of vitamin D. This choice was however not determinative in view of the nonsignificant results of the dose-effect meta-regression. Regarding the study quality assessment, we have to acknowledge that, despite its large use, the Jadad score is not perfect and that another quality scale could have been used. Moreover, because of the limited number of studies included in the meta-analyses on muscle mass and muscle power and because of the high heterogeneity observed in the metaanalysis on muscle strength, we were unable to measure the potential publication bias by the Begg's adjusted rank correlation and the Egger's regression asymmetry tests (57). Finally, only six studies were included in the muscle mass analysis and five in the muscle power analysis. This number is quite small and more good quality studies are needed to make a clear statement about the effect of vitamin D supplementation on these variables.

Conclusion

Based on the studies included in this meta-analysis, vitamin D supplementation has a small but positive impact on global muscle strength, more specifically on lower limb. These results could have a positive public health interest, especially in the field of musculoskeletal diseases. However, no impact was found on muscle mass and muscle power. Our meta-analysis suggests that vitamin D could improve muscle strength but additional studies are needed to define optimal treatment modalities, including dose, mode of administration and duration.

Acknowledgments

we are grateful to the following authors for providing information on their studies: EF. Binder (Washington, USA), D. Bunout (Santiago, Chile), A. Arabi (Beirut, Lebanon), O. Yilmaz (Ankara, Turkey), T. Songpatanasilp (Bankgok, Thailand) and RL. Brunner (Nevada, USA), G.L. Close (Liverpool, UK), E. Macdonald (Aberdeen, UK), A. Carrillo (Pittsburgh, USA).

Author's contributions: CB, OB, JP AND JYR conceived the study. VR gave support on methodology. CB and FB collected the data and disagreements were solved in presence of JS. CB performed statistical analysis and interpreted data with OB, SG and EC. All authors commented on the drafts and approved the final draft. CB is the manuscript's guarantor.

Declaration of interest: The authors indicate that they have no competing interests.

Funding: None

Address all correspondence and requests for reprints to: *Corresponding author (same contact for request for single reprint):* Charlotte Beaudart (CB), Contact address: Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 – CHUB23, 4000 Liège, Belgium, Tel. +32 4 366 49 33, Fax. +32 4 366 28 12, E-mail : c.beaudart@ulg.ac.be.

Disclosure statement: The authors have nothing to disclose. Charlotte Beaudart (CB), PhD Student, Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 – CHU B23, 4000 Liège, Belgium.; Fanny Buckinx (FB), PhD Student, Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 - CHU B23, 4000 Liège, Belgium.; Véronique Rabenda (VB), M. Sc. Researcher, Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 - CHU B23, 4000 Liège, Belgium.; Sophie Gillain (SG), MD, Associate Professor of Medicine, Geriatric Department, CHU Liège, Rue de Gaillarmont 600, 4032 Chénée, Belgium.; Etienne Cavalier (EC), PhD, Director of the Department of Clinical Chemistry, Department of Clinical Chemistry, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 - CHU B23, 4000 Liège, Belgium.; Justine Slomian (JS), PhD Student, Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 - CHU B23, 4000 Liège, Belgium.; Jean Petermans (JP), MD PhD, Director of Geriatric Department, Geriatric Department, CHU Liège, Rue de Gaillarmont 600, 4032 Chénée, Belgium.; Jean-Yves Reginster (JYR), MD PhD, Director of Bone Metabolism Department and Head of Public Health Department, Bone and cartilage metabolism Department, CHU Liège, Quai Godefroid Kurth 45, 4000 Liège, Belgium.; Olivier Bruyère (OB), PhD, Professor of Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Epidemiology and Health Economics, University of Liège, Avenue de l'Hôpital 3 - CHU B23, 4000 Liège, Belgium.

Take-home points: This systematic review and meta-analysis summarises results from 30 randomized controlled trials assessing effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle function on the general population providing the most comprehensive synthesis on this issue so far.; Vitamin D supplementation has a small but significant positive effect on global muscle strength, but no effect on muscle mass and muscle power.; Effects may be more important with people presenting a baseline 25[OH]D concentration lower than 30 nmol/L, with people institutionalised or hospitalized and with people aged 65 years or older.

This work was supported by *Funding:* None.

References

- 1. Reginster JY. The high prevalence of inadequate serum vitamin D levels and implications for bone health. *Current medical research and opinion*. 2005;21:579–586.
- 2. Autier P, Boniol M, Pizot C, Mullie P. Vitamin D status and ill health: a systematic review. *The Lancet Diabetes, Endocrinology*. 2014;2: 76–89.
- 3. Body JJ, Bergmann P, Boonen S, Devogelaer JP, Gielen E, Goemaere S, Kaufman JM, Rozenberg S, Reginster JY. Extraskeletal benefits and risks of calcium, vitamin D and anti-osteoporosis medications. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA 23 Suppl. 2012;1: S1–23.
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA. Relevance of vitamin D in muscle health. Reviews in endocrine, metabolic disorders. 2012;13:71–77.
- Al-Shoha A, Qiu S, Palnitkar S, Rao DS. Osteomalacia with bone marrow fibrosis due to severe vitamin D deficiency after a gastrointestinal bypass operation for severe obesity. *Endocrine practice :* official journal of the American College of Endocrinology and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. 2009;15:528– 533.
- Annweiler C, Schott AM, Berrut G, Fantino B, Beauchet O. Vitamin D-related changes in physical performance: a systematic review. *The journal of nutrition, health, aging*. 2009;13:893–898.
- 7. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, Dietrich T, Dawson-Hughes B. Estimation of optimal serum concentrations of 25-

hydroxyvitamin D for multiple health outcomes. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2006;84:18–28.

- 8. Dukas L, Staehelin HB, Schacht E, Bischoff HA. Better functional mobility in community-dwelling elderly is related to D-hormone serum levels and to daily calcium intake. *The journal of nutrition, health, aging.* 2005;9:347–351.
- 9. Gallagher JC. The effects of calcitriol on falls and fractures and physical performance tests. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology* 89-. 2004;90:497–501.
- Wicherts IS, van Schoor NM, Boeke AJ, Visser M, Deeg DJ, Smit J, Knol DL, Lips P. Vitamin D status predicts physical performance and its decline in older persons. *The Journal of clinical endocrinol*ogy and metabolism. 2007;92:2058–2065.
- Simpson RU, Thomas GA, Arnold AJ. Identification of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 receptors and activities in muscle. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 1985;260:8882–8891.
- 12. Muir SW, Montero-Odasso M. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle strength, gait and balance in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2011;59:2291–2300.
- 13. Stockton KA, Mengersen K, Paratz JD, Kandiah D, Bennell KL. Effect of vitamin D supplementation on muscle strength: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoporosis international: a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2011;22:859–871.
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*. 2009;62:e1–34.
- Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, McQuay HJ. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Controlled clinical trials*. 1996;17:1–12.
- 16. Brozek JL, Akl EA, Jaeschke R, Lang DM, Bossuyt P, Glasziou P, Helfand M, Ueffing E, Alonso-Coello P, Meerpohl J, Phillips B, Horvath AR, Bousquet J, Guyatt GH, Schunemann HJ. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations in clinical practice guidelines: Part 2 of 3. The GRADE approach to grading quality of evidence about diagnostic tests and strategies. *Allergy*. 2009;64:1109–1116.
- Berkey CS, Hoaglin DC, Mosteller F, Colditz GA. A random-effects regression model for meta-analysis. *Statistics in medicine*. 1995;14: 395–411.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. *Statistics in medicine*. 2002;21:1539–1558.
- Barker T, Martins TB, Hill HR, Kjeldsberg CR, Henriksen VT, Dixon BM, Schneider ED, Dern A, Weaver LK. Different doses of supplemental vitamin D maintain interleukin-5 without altering skeletal muscle strength: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in vitamin D sufficient adults. *Nutrition, metabolism*. 2012;9:16.
- Binder EF. Implementing a Structured Exercice Program for Frail Nursing home Residents With Dementia: Issues and Challenge. *JAPA*, vol 3(issue 4), 1996.
- Bischoff HA, Stahelin HB, Dick W, Akos R, Knecht M, Salis C, Nebiker M, Theiler R, Pfeifer M, Begerow B, Lew RA, Conzelmann M. Effects of vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of bone and mineral research :* the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2003;18:343–351.
- 22. Brunner RL, Cochrane B, Jackson RD, Larson J, Lewis C, Limacher M, Rosal M, Shumaker S, Wallace R. Calcium, vitamin D supplementation, and physical function in the Women's Health Initiative. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2008;108:1472–1479.
- 23. Bunout D, Barrera G, Leiva L, Gattas V, de la Maza MP, Avendano M, Hirsch S. Effects of vitamin D supplementation and exercise

training on physical performance in Chilean vitamin D deficient elderly subjects. *Experimental gerontology*. 2006;41:746–752.

- 24. Carrillo AE, Flynn MG, Pinkston C, Markofski MM, Jiang Y, Donkin SS, Teegarden D. Impact of vitamin D supplementation during a resistance training intervention on body composition, muscle function, and glucose tolerance in overweight and obese adults. *Clin Nutr.* 2013;32:375–381.
- 25. Close GL, Russell J, Cobley JN, Owens DJ, Wilson G, Gregson W, Fraser WD, Morton JP. Assessment of vitamin D concentration in non-supplemented professional athletes and healthy adults during the winter months in the UK: implications for skeletal muscle function. *Journal of sports sciences*. 2013;31:344–353.
- Dhesi JK, Jackson SH, Bearne LM, Moniz C, Hurley MV, Swift CG, Allain TJ. Vitamin D supplementation improves neuromuscular function in older people who fall. *Age and ageing*. 2004;33:589– 595.
- 27. El-Hajj Fuleihan G, Nabulsi M, Tamim H, Maalouf J, Salamoun M, Khalife H, Choucair M, Arabi A, Vieth R. Effect of vitamin D replacement on musculoskeletal parameters in school children: a randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism.* 2006;91:405–412.
- 28. Glendenning P, Zhu K, Inderjeeth C, Howat P, Lewis JR, Prince RL. Effects of three-monthly oral 150,000 IU cholecalciferol supplementation on falls, mobility, and muscle strength in older postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research*. 2012;27:170–176.
- Goswami R, Vatsa M, Sreenivas V, Singh U, Gupta N, Lakshmy R, Aggarwal S, Ganapathy A, Joshi P, Bhatia H. Skeletal muscle strength in young Asian Indian females after vitamin D and calcium supplementation: a double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2012; 97:4709–4716.
- 30. Grady D, Halloran B, Cummings S, Leveille S, Wells L, Black D, Byl N. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 and muscle strength in the elderly: a randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology* and metabolism. 1991;73:1111–1117.
- 31. Gupta R, Sharma U, Gupta N, Kalaivani M, Singh U, Guleria R, Jagannathan NR, Goswami R. Effect of cholecalciferol and calcium supplementation on muscle strength and energy metabolism in vitamin D-deficient Asian Indians: a randomized, controlled trial. *Clinical endocrinology*. 2010;73:445–451.
- 32. Hara S, Kishimoto KN, Okuno H, Tanaka M, Saito H, Oizumi A, Itoi E. Effects of alfacalcidol on back extensor strength gained through back extensor exercise in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. American journal of physical medicine, rehabilitation / Association of Academic Physiatrists. 2013;92:101–110.
- 33. Hornikx M, Van Remoortel H, Lehouck A, Mathieu C, Maes K, Gayan-Ramirez G, Decramer M, Troosters T, Janssens W. Vitamin D supplementation during rehabilitation in COPD: a secondary analysis of a randomized trial. *Respiratory research*. 2012;13:84.
- 34. Janssen HC, Samson MM, Verhaar HJ. Muscle strength and mobility in vitamin D-insufficient female geriatric patients: a randomized controlled trial on vitamin D and calcium supplementation. *Aging clinical and experimental research*. 2010;22:78–84.
- 35. Kampman MT, Steffensen LH, Mellgren SI, Jorgensen L. Effect of vitamin D3 supplementation on relapses, disease progression, and measures of function in persons with multiple sclerosis: exploratory outcomes from a double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Mult Scler*. 2012;18:1144–1151.
- 36. Kenny AM, Biskup B, Robbins B, Marcella G, Burleson JA. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on strength, physical function, and health perception in older, community-dwelling men. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2003;51:1762–1767.
- 37. Knutsen KV, Madar AA, Lagerlov P, Brekke M, Raastad T, Stene LC, Meyer HE. Does Vitamin D Improve Muscle Strength in Adults? A Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial Among Eth-

nic Minorities in Norway. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2014;99:194–202.

- Kukuljan S, Nowson CA, Sanders K, Daly RM. Effects of resistance exercise and fortified milk on skeletal muscle mass, muscle size, and functional performance in middle-aged and older men: an 18-mo randomized controlled trial. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2009;107: 1864–1873.
- 39. Latham NK, Anderson CS, Lee A, Bennett DA, Moseley A, Cameron ID. A randomized, controlled trial of quadriceps resistance exercise and vitamin D in frail older people: the Frailty Interventions Trial in Elderly Subjects (FITNESS). *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2003;51:291–299.
- Manios Y, Moschonis G, Koutsikas K, Papoutsou S, Petraki I, Bellou E, Naoumi A, Kostea S, Tanagra S. Changes in body composition following a dietary and lifestyle intervention trial: the postmenopausal health study. *Maturitas*. 2009;62:58–65.
- 41. Pfeifer M, Begerow B, Minne HW, Suppan K, Fahrleitner-Pammer A, Dobnig H. Effects of a long-term vitamin D and calcium supplementation on falls and parameters of muscle function in communitydwelling older individuals. Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2009;20:315–322.
- Sato Y, Iwamoto J, Kanoko T, Satoh K. Low-dose vitamin D prevents muscular atrophy and reduces falls and hip fractures in women after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2005; 20:187–192.
- 43. Smedshaug GB, Pedersen IP, Meyer HE. Can vitamin D supplementation improve grip strength in elderly nursing home residents? A double-blinded controlled trial. *Scandinavian Journal of Food*, *Nutrition*. 2007;51:74–78.
- 44. Songpatanasilp T, Chailurkit LO, Nichachotsalid A, Chantarasorn M. Combination of alfacalcidol with calcium can improve quadriceps muscle strength in elderly ambulatory Thai women who have hypovitaminosis D: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand = Chotmaihet thangphaet* 92 Suppl. 2009;5:S30–41.
- Verhaar HJ, Samson MM, Jansen PA, de Vreede PL, Manten JW, Duursma SA. Muscle strength, functional mobility and vitamin D in older women. *Aging (Milano)*. 2000;12:455–460.
- 46. Ward KA, Das G, Roberts SA, Berry JL, Adams JE, Rawer R, Mughal MZ. A randomized, controlled trial of vitamin D supplementation upon musculoskeletal health in postmenarchal females. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2010;95:4643– 4651.
- 47. Wood AD, Secombes KR, Thies F, Aucott LS, Black AJ, Reid DM, Mavroeidi A, Simpson WG, Fraser WD, Macdonald HM. A parallel group double-blind RCT of vitamin D3 assessing physical function: is the biochemical response to treatment affected by overweight and obesity? Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA. 2014;25: 305–315.
- 48. Zhu K, Austin N, Devine A, Bruce D, Prince RL. A randomized controlled trial of the effects of vitamin D on muscle strength and mobility in older women with vitamin D insufficiency. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2010;58:2063–2068.
- Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Penninx BW, Leveille S, Sipila S, Fried LP. Coimpairments as predictors of severe walking disability in older women. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2001; 49:21–27.
- Rantanen T, Guralnik JM, Foley D, Masaki K, Leveille S, Curb JD, White L. Midlife hand grip strength as a predictor of old age disability. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*. 1999;281:558–560.
- 51. Balsamo S, da Mota LM, de Carvalho JF, Nascimento Dda C, Tibana RA, de Santana FS, Moreno RL, Gualano B, dos Santos-Neto L. Low dynamic muscle strength and its associations with

fatigue, functional performance, and quality of life in premenopausal patients with systemic lupus erythematosus and low disease activity: a case-control study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2013;14:263.

- 52. Stenholm S, Mehta NK, Elo IT, Heliovaara M, Koskinen S, Aromaa A. 2013 Obesity and muscle strength as long-term determinants of all-cause mortality-a 33-year follow-up of the Mini-Finland Health Examination Survey. International journal of obesity
- 53. Kalyani RR, Stein B, Valiyil R, Manno R, Maynard JW, Crews DC. Vitamin D treatment for the prevention of falls in older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2010;58:1299–1310.
- 54. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Willett WC, Staehelin HB, Bazemore MG, Zee RY, Wong JB. Effect of Vitamin D on falls: a

meta-analysis. JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association. 2004;291:1999–2006.

- 55. Murad MH, Elamin KB, Abu Elnour NO, Elamin MB, Alkatib AA, Fatourechi MM, Almandoz JP, Mullan RJ, Lane MA, Liu H, Erwin PJ, Hensrud DD, Montori VM. Clinical review: The effect of vitamin D on falls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism*. 2011;96:2997–3006.
- 56. Scott D, Stuart AL, Kay D, Ebeling PR, Nicholson G, Sanders KM. 2013 Investigating the predictive ability of gait speed and quadriceps strength for incident falls in community-dwelling older women at high risk of fracture. Archives of gerontology and geriatrics
- 57. Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA. The appropriateness of asymmetry tests for publication bias in meta-analyses: a large survey. *CMAJ* : *Canadian Medical Association journal = journal de l'Association medicale canadienne*. 2007;176:1091–1096.